



ELSEVIER

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

SCIENCE @ DIRECT®

Journal of Econometrics 126 (2005) 571–572

JOURNAL OF
Econometrics

www.elsevier.com/locate/econbase

Corrigendum

Corrigendum to “Rescaled variance and related tests for long memory in volatility and levels”

[J. Econom. 112 (2003) 265–294]

Liudas Giraitis^a, Piotr Kokoszka^b, Remigijus Leipus^{c,*},
Gilles Teysnière^d

^aUniversity of York, Department of Mathematics and Economics, Heslington, York YO10 5DD, UK

^bDepartment of Mathematics and Statistics, Utah State University, 3900 Old Main Hill,

UT 84322-3900, USA

^cDepartment of Mathematics and Informatics, Vilnius University, Naugarduko 24, 03225 Vilnius, Lithuania

^dGREQAM & CORE, Centre de la Vieille Charité, 2 rue de la Charité, F-13002 Marseille, France

Available online 5 October 2004

A minor correction is needed in the proof of Theorem 3.1.

Theorem 3.1 shows that in the case of a long memory time series $\{X_k\}$ with autocovariances $\gamma_j = \text{Cov}(X_j, X_0) \sim cj^{2d-1}$, $0 < d < \frac{1}{2}$, the estimate of spectral density at zero frequency $\hat{s}_{N,q}^2 = \hat{\gamma}_0 + 2\sum_{j=1}^q (1 - j/(q+1))\hat{\gamma}_j$ can be used to estimate the asymptotic variance of the renormalised sample mean:

$$q^{-2d} \hat{s}_{N,q}^2 \xrightarrow{P} c_d^2 = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \text{Var} \left(N^{-1/2-d} \sum_{j=1}^N X_j \right).$$

The convergence (A.1) on p. 290 is correct but two corrections on p. 291 must be done. It is obvious that line 5, p. 291 should be $E(v_{N,1} - Ev_{N,2})^2 = o(q^{4d})$. Since $i_{N,1} = o(q^{2d}) = o(q^{4d})$ we have to show that $i_{N,2} = o(q^{4d})$. To prove that we must correct the last line on p. 291. Note that summation is taken over $|j|, |j'| \leq q$ and

DOI of original article 10.1016/S0304-4076(02)00197-5

*Corresponding author.

E-mail address: remigijus.leipus@maf.vu.lt (R. Leipus).

0304-4076/\$ - see front matter © 2004 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

doi:10.1016/j.jeconom.2004.08.001

$|i|, |i'| \leq N$. We have to apply here the estimate

$$\sum_{i=1}^k |\gamma_{i+v}| \leq Ck^{2d} \tag{1}$$

uniformly in $v = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$ and $k \geq 1$ which implies that $\sum_{i=1}^N |\gamma_{i+v}| \leq CN^{2d}$, $\sum_{i=1}^q |\gamma_{i+v'}| \leq Cq^{2d}$, uniformly in v, v' . Using these bounds in the last line of p. 291 (and correcting the summation) we obtain that $i_{N,2} \leq CN^{-2} NqN^{2d} q^{2d} = C(q/N)^{1-2d} q^{4d} = o(q^{4d})$ to complete the proof.

To prove (1), note that for $|v| \geq k + 1$ and $1 \leq i \leq k$, $|\gamma_{i+v}| \leq C|i + v|^{2d-1} \leq C|k + 1 - i|^{2d-1}$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^k |\gamma_{i+v}| &= \sum_{i=1}^k |\gamma_{i+v}| \mathbf{1}_{\{|v| \leq k\}} + \sum_{i=1}^k |\gamma_{i+v}| \mathbf{1}_{\{|v| \geq k+1\}} \\ &\leq C \left(\sum_{i=1}^k |i + v|^{2d-1} \mathbf{1}_{\{i+v \neq 0, |v| \leq k\}} + \sum_{i=1}^k |k + 1 - i|^{2d-1} \right) \\ &\leq C \sum_{i=1}^{2k} i^{2d-1} \leq Ck^{2d}. \end{aligned}$$

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Q. Shao and K. Shimotsu for pointing out the difficulty.